



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,015	05/08/2001	Hubert Wanner	2789/2	3332

26646 7590 03/25/2003

KENYON & KENYON
ONE BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NY 10004

EXAMINER

TRAN, THUY VAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3652

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/851,015	Applicant(s) Wanner
	Examiner Thuy V. Tran	Art Unit 3652
<i>-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --</i>		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 13, 2003</u> 2a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This action is FINAL. 2b) <input type="checkbox"/> This action is non-final. 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 		
Disposition of Claims <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are rejected. 7) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) <input type="checkbox"/> Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 		
Application Papers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 9) <input type="checkbox"/> The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The drawing(s) filed on <u>May 8, 2001</u> is/are a) <input type="checkbox"/> accepted or b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>Jan 13, 2003</u> is: a) <input type="checkbox"/> approved b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) <input type="checkbox"/> The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 13) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <input type="checkbox"/> All b) <input type="checkbox"/> Some* c) <input type="checkbox"/> None of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____. 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 		
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 14) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <input type="checkbox"/> The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 		
Attachment(s) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ 		

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on January 13, 2003 have been disapproved because they introduce new matter into the drawings.

37 CFR 1.121(a)(6) states that no amendment may introduce new matter into the disclosure of an application. The original disclosure does not support the showing of the lifting platform located directly above the operating device in a plan view as proposed.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "lifting platform is configured to lift an entire motor vehicle" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Response to Amendment

3. The amendment filed January 13, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not

Art Unit: 3652

supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "wherein the lifting platform is configured to lift an entire motor vehicle".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

5. Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 3152422 A1 (DE '422) in view of EP 960770 A1 (EP '770).

DE '422 discloses an operating device comprising a plurality of housing, Figs 4 & 5, configured to be arranged in a sunken manner in a floor 23, the housing including a cover 30, a plurality of operating elements, each operating element disposed in the cover and formed as a foot switch to control a lifting and lowering of the lifting platform, and the upper side of the cover is configured to be aligned with the level of the floor.

DE '422 discloses a separate housing for each operating element.

EP '770 discloses a foot operating device comprising a housing having a plurality of operating elements disposed in the cover and a display device 9 for providing a display in accordance with predetermined operating states.

Art Unit: 3652

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have employed a housing comprised a plurality of operating elements for the operating device of DE '422 reference in order to simplify the design as well as maintenance.

Regarding the limitation "wherein the lifting platform is configured to lift an entire motor vehicle, the lifting platform of DE '422 is well capable of lifting an entire motor vehicle.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed January 13, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that neither DE '422 nor EP '770 discloses the lifting platform is configured to lift an entire motor vehicle. First, Applicant's originally filed specification fails to disclose such limitation. Secondly, each of DE '422 nor EP '770 separately is well capable of lifting an entire motor vehicle, such as motorcycle.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 3652

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy v. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-2558.

TVT (TJ7)

March 24, 2003



CHRISTOPHER P. ELLIS
SUSPENDED PRACTICE OF LAW
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000